Chung Yuan Christian University, College of Humanities and

Education, Regulations on Teacher Evaluations

Approved at the College Affairs Meeting on October 18, 2012

Approved at the 101st School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on January 18, 2013

Revised at the 102nd School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on September 16, 2013

Approved at the College Affairs Meeting on October 8, 2013

Revised at the 102nd School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on April 25, 2014

Revised according to document No. 1030000643 issued on March 5, 2014

Revised at the 103rd School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on October 24, 2014

Revised at the 104th School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on March 20, 2015

Revised at the 104th School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on April 23, 2015.

Revised at the 104th School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on April 22, 2016

Revised at the 104th School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on June 24, 2016

Revised at the 105th School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on April 28, 2017

Approved at the College Affairs Meeting on June 20, 2012

Approved at the College Affairs Meeting on May 23, 2019

Revised at the 107th School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on June 25, 2019

Revised at the 108th School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on December 27, 2019

Revised at the 110th School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on September 10, 2021

Revised according to the document No. 1110002691 issued on August 3, 2022

Revised at the 111th School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on September 8, 2022

Revised at the 112th School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on January 13, 2023

Revised at the 112th School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on October 27, 2023

Revised at the 105th School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on July 20, 2017 Revised at the 106th School Faculty Evaluation Committee Meeting on June 22, 2018

Article 1

These regulations are established based on Article 4 of the Teacher Evaluation Guidelines of Chung Yuan Christian University.

Article 2

Full-time faculty members of this college shall undergo evaluations relating to their teaching, research, and service (including counseling), but visiting professors and short-term contracted teachers are not subject to this requirement.

There are separate evaluations for teachers considered to be "New Faculty" or "General Faculty".

New faculty refers to those who have been at the school for less than three years, while general faculty refers to those who have been at the school for three years or more.

New Faculty evaluations are conducted according to the separate "Regulations for Renewal and Evaluation of New Faculty" established by this college.

Article 3

The evaluation items for teachers in this college include teaching, research, and service (including counseling), with a total score of 100 points. Teachers must achieve a score of 70 points or higher to pass the evaluation. The proportion of each evaluation item is as follows: teaching 30%-55%, research 30%-55%, and service (including counseling) 15%-40%. The weight distribution may be chosen by the evaluated teachers, as long as it stays within the specified range, but the total distribution of the three items must equal 100%. For teachers who primarily hold administrative positions in accordance with Article 15 of the University Teacher Evaluation Guidelines, the proportions are: teaching 10%-30%, research 10%-30%, and service (including counseling) 60%-80%, with the total still equaling 100%.

Teachers in the Language Center and General Education Center, who are responsible for teaching, may choose a distribution of evaluation items after approval by the school-level Faculty Evaluation Committee, with the proportions as follows: teaching 60%-80%, research 10%-30%, and service (including counseling) 10%-30%, maintaining a total of 100%.

Article 4

Teachers who do not pass the evaluation shall receive guidance and undergo a re-evaluation in the following academic year. If the re-evaluation is still not passed, a second re-evaluation will be conducted in the next academic year. If the teacher fails the second re-evaluation, the case shall be submitted to the respective Faculty Evaluation Committees for deliberation, and the teacher may not be reappointed.

Article 5

The evaluation for teaching is divided into basic items worth 50 points and development items worth 50 points, with a total score of 100 points. If the basic items do not reach 40 points, the scores from the development items cannot contribute additional points.

1. Basic Items:

- a. Teach the number of hours specified by the school (excluding reductions in teaching hours). For each hour less than the required amount, 2 points will be deducted.
- b. Course syllabi for each semester must be posted online and marked as completed by the department's curriculum committee. If a syllabus is not posted online, 2 points will be deducted; if evaluated as incomplete by the curriculum committee, 2 points will be

deducted. These deductions apply to *each course* in which a syllabus is not posted or is marked as incomplete.

- c. Each semester, teachers must set aside at least 3 hours per week for student consultation. If not completed, 2 points will be deducted each semester this requirement is not met.
- d. Each semester, the teaching evaluation score for each course must be above 3.5. A course ranking in the bottom 10% at the college and scoring below 3.5 will cause a deduction of 2 points.
- e. Teachers whose evaluation score for any course is below 3.5 must review their teaching evaluation feedback, teaching innovations, material development, class management, etc., and submit a report with recommendations on how they plan to improve. Failure to submit the report will result in a deduction of 4 points each semester.

Teachers who do not cooperate with the teaching policies or relevant regulations of their department or college may have points deducted at the discretion of the evaluation committee, with a maximum deduction of 10 points from the basic score.

2. Development Items: Development items are divided into quantitative and qualitative items. The maximum total score for quantitative items is 35 points, while the maximum total score for qualitative items is 15 points, as detailed below:

a. Quantitative Items:

- 1. If the teaching evaluation score ranks in the top 45%–75% of the college (including required and elective courses), 0.5 points will be added per course; in the top 15%–45%, 1 point will be added per course; in the top 15%, 1.5 points will be added per course.
- 2. If the course syllabus is rated as excellent by the department's curriculum committee, 1 point will be added per course. If the material is posted online (i-learning platform) and rated as A, 1 point will be added per course.
- 3. For English professional courses, if the teaching evaluation is certified as 'excellent', 2 points will be added; if 'good', 1 point will be added; if 'acceptable', 0.5 points will be added.
 - a. The maximum total score for items (1), (2), and (3) is 20 points.
- 4. Each semester, if the use of the i-learning platform for course interaction is rated in the top 20%, 1 point will be added per course.
- 5. Other effective course interactions conducted in innovative ways will be reviewed by the college's Faculty Evaluation Committee, which will assign appropriate scores.
- 6. Teachers who have been selected as university-level Excellent Teachers within the past three years will receive 10 points each time; those selected as university-level Distinguished Teachers will receive 20 points each time.

- 7. Awards received from credible external teaching organizations, as recognized by the college's Faculty Evaluation Committee, will receive appropriate scores, with a maximum of 20 points for all external awards combined.
- 8. Participation in government-promoted educational improvement plans, such as the Ministry of Education's Technology Education Improvement Program or Higher Education Sprout Project, will grant 15 points to the project leader (including executive director), 8 points to co-leaders, and 2 points to advisory teachersfor the Higher Education Sprout Project. Each project receiving various teaching resources and material production subsidies from the university and being rated as excellent, will receive 3 points.
- 9. Other successful efforts to secure external resources will be reviewed by the college's Faculty Evaluation Committee, which will assign appropriate scores.
- 10. Participation in the "National University Teacher Professional Certification Program" and passing each stage of course certification is worth 2 points each semester.
- 11. Executing interdisciplinary programs or employment programs at the university will earn 10 points per academic year. Supporting special teaching initiatives (such as professional courses taught in English, service learning courses, internship courses, summer courses, innovation courses, and professional ethics courses; courses taught in English for non-major departments are not included) will earn 2 points per semester per class. Offering asynchronous and remote teaching courses or MOOC courses will earn 5 points per semester per subject. Obtaining certification from the Ministry of Education for remote teaching courses and opening them will earn 10 points per semester per subject. The maximum score for this section is 15 points.
- 12. Other outcomes related to participating in school policy-driven courses will be reviewed by the college's Faculty Evaluation Committee, which will assign appropriate scores.
- 13. Publishing or writing translated textbooks or sets of teaching materials or educational software as the main contributor will earn 15 points per volume/set; for reprints or partial contributions, 5 points will be added.
- 14. Other outcomes related to innovative teaching materials will be reviewed by the college's Faculty Evaluation Committee, which will assign appropriate scores.
- 15. Course innovation: Establishing innovative courses such as Problem-Based Learning (PBL), micro-courses, or deep bowl courses will earn 5 points per semester per subject. Teaching method innovation: Using flipped classrooms, digital technology, or Activity Facilitated Learning (AFL) methods will also earn 5 points per semester per subject. The maximum score for this section is 15 points.
- 16. Other teaching outcomes related to improving teaching quality or implementing teaching policies within the college must be substantial, planned, continuous, and supported by record. These will be evaluated by the college's Faculty Evaluation Committee. The maximum score for this section is 15 points.
 - i. Teachers recognized as Excellent Teachers within their department (up to 2 per department per year) will receive 5 points each time.

- ii. Achieving specific outcomes through individual or group counseling to enhance student motivation and learning outcomes will earn 2 points per case.
- iii. Planning and executing off-campus teaching (field teaching) for the department, accumulating more than 5 days in a semester, will earn 3 to 5 points each semester based on the situation.
- iv. Other positive teaching outcomes.
- b. Qualitative Items: Other specific teaching-related matters, both within and outside the university, may be listed by teachers for evaluation by the college-level Faculty Evaluation Committee.

The evaluation for research is divided into basic items worth 50 points and development items worth 50 points, with a total score of 100 points.

- 1. Basic Items: Teachers who meet at least one of the following criteria within the past three years will receive a basic score of 40 points; those who meet two or more criteria will receive a basic score of 50 points:
 - a. Published various academic or creative works according to the university's reward regulations for research or creative achievements.
 - b. Served as a co-host or higher for two external project grants or one project from the National Science and Technology Council or the Ministry of Education's teaching practice research projects.
 - c. Attend two domestic academic conferences or one international academic conference, and be invited to give a speech, serve as a host, be part of a panel, or present a paper.
 - d. The college may set specific criteria based on academic disciplines (only one such item may be counted). Examples include:
 - i. Signing a contract with a publisher to write an academic book.
 - ii. Applying for two research projects from the National Science and Technology Council or the Ministry of Education.
 - iii. Performing twice at venues with a review system at the regional level or above.
 - iv. Publishing two papers in peer-reviewed academic journals, domestically or internationally.
- 2. Development Items: Development items are divided into quantitative and qualitative items. The maximum total score for quantitative items is 35 points, while the maximum total score for qualitative items is 15 points, as follows:
 - a. Quantitative Items:

- i. Receiving a national award will add 40 points each time. Being recognized as outstanding research at the university will add 30 points each time. Being recognized under the university's flexible salary program will add 20 points each time.
- ii. Leading a research project from the National Science and Technology Council or the Ministry of Education is worth 10 points per project. Other research projects or industry-academia cooperation is worth 8 points per, co-leading research projects or industry-academia cooperation is worth 5 points per, and co-hosting research projects or industry-academia cooperation is worth 2 points per project.
- iii. Publishing peer-reviewed papers in academic journals or conferences:
 - 1. SSCI, A&HCI papers are worth 20 points each.
 - 2. Papers in SCI, TSSCI, THCI core journals or academic papers ranked as first-level journals by the National Science and Technology Council are worth 16 points each.
 - 3. Papers in EI, THCI journals are worth 12 points each.
 - 4. Papers in domestic and international peer-reviewed academic journals are worth 10 points each.
 - 5. Papers presented at domestic and international peer-reviewed academic conferences are worth 8 points each.
 - 6. If the teacher is not the primary author, each score will be reduced by 2 points.
- iv. Publishing academic books will add 20 points per volume; for reprints or partial contributions, 10 points per volume. If not the primary author, 2 points will be deducted per volume.
- v. Results or technical reports from industry-academia cooperation are worth 5 points each.
- vi. Each patent obtained will add 15 points.
- vii. Creative design works or performances: international exhibitions are worth 20 points each; national exhibitions are worth 16 points each; county/city exhibitions are worth 10 points each; and university exhibitions are worth 5 points each.
- viii. For supervising one master's (or specialized) student's thesis, 2 points will be added; for supervising one doctoral student's thesis, 4 points will be added; points will be awarded only after the student graduates. The maximum score for this item is 10 points.
- ix. Reviewing academic works or projects: for promotion papers, 5 points each; for teacher qualification theses, 3 points each; for books, 3 points each; for journal papers, 3 points each; for academic conference papers, 2 points each; and for reviewing central government or external academic institution research projects, 3 points each (2 points for local level). The maximum score for this item is 10 points.

- x. Special presentations at academic conferences will add 3 points; for international academic symposiums, keynote speakers will earn 15 points each; panel participants will earn 3 points each; hosts will earn 2 points each. The maximum score for this item is 15 points.
- xi. Other research-related works, creations, or awards will be evaluated by the collegelevel Faculty Evaluation Committee. The maximum score for this item is 15 points.
- b. Qualitative Items: Other specific research-related matters will be listed by teachers for evaluation by the college-level Faculty Evaluation Committee.

The evaluation of service (including counseling) is divided into basic items worth 50 points and development items worth 50 points, with a total score of 100 points.

- 1. Basic Items: Teachers who meet at least one of the following criteria within the past three years will receive a basic score of 50 points:
 - a. Serve as a homeroom teacher for four semesters or more and attend at least one homeroom meeting per semester (including those who apply for leave according to university procedures). Additionally, the online evaluation completion rate must be 40% or higher, and the evaluation score must be in the top 80% of the university.
 - b. Serve as a career mentor for two years or more, with a passing evaluation score (70 points or higher).
 - c. Show care and provide counseling to students and participate in four or more counseling skill workshops (including homeroom meetings and career mentor meetings).
 - d. Serve as a coach for a sports team in the physical education department and participate in national competitions at least twice.
 - e. Guide students in projects and participate in national or international competitions at least twice.

If teachers do not participate in important service and counseling matters, meetings, and activities of the department or college, the evaluation committee may decide to deduct points based on actual circumstances, with a maximum deduction of 30 points from the basic score.

- 2. Development Items: Development items are divided into quantitative and qualitative items. The maximum total score for quantitative items is 35 points, while the maximum total score for qualitative items is 15 points, as follows:
 - a. Quantitative Items:

- i. Being selected as an excellent mentor (5 points for department-level excellence, an additional 5 points for college-level excellence, and an additional 10 points for university-level excellence).
- ii. Serving as a freshman mentor and achieving a 100% score in the "Caring for Freshmen's First Mile Program" by completing the "New Student Initial Report" within the specified deadline (excluding international students) is worth 5 points.
- iii. Filling out online interaction notes and achieving an evaluation completion rate of 60% or higher, with scores in the top 50% of the college (4 points per semester).
- iv. Receiving an excellent evaluation as a career mentor (3 points per academic year) or mentoring students and filling out online meeting records (2 points if there are more than 8 records per semester).
- v. Serving as a counseling teacher for various student groups, program leaders, club advisors, volunteer mentors, or sports team leaders (1 point per item per semester).
- vi. Providing guidance or leading students in service work with concrete advice, or leading a class in general education activities or promoting student internships with concrete advice (1 point per item per semester, with an additional 5 points for receiving the Holistic Care Award).
- vii. Serving as a convener, executive secretary, or committee member for various school committees (1 point per item per academic year).
- viii. Planning or organizing large events or seminars (2 points for each internal event; 5 points for intercollegiate events; 10 points for international events).
 - ix. Collaborating with the admissions office to carry out various recruitment activities or serving as an admissions committee member for departments or programs (1 point per item per academic year).
 - x. Guiding or participating in various competitions that win awards (1 point for internal competitions; 4 points for intercollegiate competitions; 10 points for international competitions).
- b. Qualitative Items: Other specific service (including counseling) related matters inside and outside the university will be listed by teachers for evaluation by the college-level Faculty Evaluation Committee (e.g., serving as a committee member, supervisor, or director for government agencies, non-profit organizations, or academic organizations).

Teachers with an evaluation score below 75 points should receive assistance for improvement from the college.

Article 9

Matters not covered by these regulations shall be resolved by the college affairs meeting in accordance with relevant university regulations.

These regulations shall take effect after being approved by the college affairs meeting and subsequently reviewed and approved by the university's Teacher Evaluation Committee.

College of Humanities and Education	Academic Year General Faculty
Evaluation Sc	core Sheet
(Faculty evaluation data for this academic	c year should be based on information
from the academic year to	o the academic year.)
Evaluated Faculty Member's Department:	
Name of Evaluated Faculty Member:	

I. Teaching Evaluation Criteria

(Basic Criteria: 50 points, Development Criteria: 50 points. If the Basic Criteria score is below 40 points, no points may be added for the Development Criteria.)

(A) Faculty who meet the following Basic Criteria are awarded 50 points for the Basic Criteria. The scoring standards are as follows:

	A 44 T	G .	Self-Assessr	nent Score	D. (D : 1
Item	Attachment Number	Scoring Explanation	Deductions	Points Awarded	Data Review	Reviewed Score
1. Each faculty member must meet the required teaching hours according to school regulations (excluding any reduced teaching load).		If the required hours are not met, 2 points will be deducted per hour below the requirement per semester.				
2. Each semester's course syllabus must be uploaded online and evaluated as complete by the department's curriculum committee.		If the syllabus is not uploaded, a deduction of 2 points per course applies; if evaluated as incomplete by the committee, 2 points per course will be deducted.				
3. During each semester's class period, at least 3 hours per week should be scheduled for student consultations.		If no consultation time is set or followed, a deduction of 2 points per semester will apply.				
4.Teaching evaluation scores for each course must be above 3.5 per semester.		If a score falls within the bottom 10% of the college and is below 3.5, a deduction of 2 points				

	per course applies.	
5. If any course's		
teaching evaluation		
score is below 3.5,		
the faculty member		
should review the		
evaluation		
feedback, teaching	Failure to submit this	
innovations, course	report will result in a	
material	4-point deduction	
development,	per semester.	
classroom		
management, etc.,		
and submit a report		
suggesting		
improvements.		
	th departmental, college, or university teaching policies or	
	aculty Evaluation Committee may assign additional	
deductions based on the ac	tual situation, with a maximum deduction of 10 points from	m
the Basic Score.		

(B) Development Criteria are divided into Quantitative and Qualitative Items, with a maximum score of 35 points for Quantitative Items and 15 points for Qualitative Items. Development points will not be added if the Basic Criteria score is below 40. The scoring standards are as follows:

Quantitative Project	Attachment Number	Scoring Explanation		Self- Asses sment Score	Data Review	Reviewed Score
High Quality of Teaching		For teaching evaluation scores within the top 45%-75% of the entire department (categorized by required and elective courses), an additional 0.5 points are awarded per course. For scores within the top 15%-45%, 1 additional point is awarded per course. For scores in the top 15%, an additional 1.5 points are awarded per course.	A maximum of 20 points can be awarded for this item.			
High Quality of Teaching		For each course syllabus rated as "Excellent" by the department's curriculum committee each semester, an additional 1 point is awarded per course. For course materials uploaded to the i- Learning platform and rated as "Grade A," an additional 1 point is also awarded per course.	Tem.			
High Quality of Teaching		For English-taught professional courses, an additional 2 points are				

	awarded for a rating of				
	"Excellent," 1 point for a rating of				
	"Good," and 0.5 points for a rating				
	of "Satisfactory."				
Course	For each course using the i-Learning platform				
Engagement	to engage with students each semester, an				
and	additional 1 point is awarded if its effectiveness				
Interaction	is rated within the top 20% of courses.				
	For those who have received the university-				
Teaching Awards and	level "Outstanding Teaching Award" within the				
	past three years, an additional 10 points are				
	awarded each time. For those who have				
Honors	received the university-level "Exceptional				
	Teaching Award," an additional 20 points are				
	awarded each time.				
	For executing government-promoted				
		educational improvement projects, such as the			
		Ministry of Education's Science and			
	Technology Education Improvement Plan or the				
	Higher Education Deepening Plan, the				
	following points are awarded: Project leaders (including executive directors):				
Teaching	15 points				
Resource	Co-leaders or collaborative leaders (including				
Contribution	sub-project or program leaders): 8 points				
	Advisors for the Higher Education Deepening				
	Plan: 2 points				
	Additionally, for receiving various teaching				
	resources and materials production subsidies				
	from the university, and completing the project				
	with an "Excellent" evaluation, 3 points are				
	awarded per project.				
Teacher	For participating in the "National University				
Professiona	Teachers' Professional Teaching Certification				
	Program" and passing the certification at each				
Developme	stage, an additional 2 points are awarded for				
nt	that semester. For executing the university's interdisciplinary				
	programs and employment-oriented programs				
	as a project leader, an additional 10 points are				
	awarded per academic year. For supporting				
	special teaching initiatives promoted by the				
Dantiaisetie	university (such as professional courses in				
Participation in	English, service-learning courses, internship				
Policy Courses	courses, summer courses, three-creation				
Courses	courses, professional ethics courses, and special				
	courses, excluding foreign language courses in				
	external department programs), an additional 2				
	points are awarded per class per semester.				
	For offering asynchronous and distance				
	learning courses or Massive Open Online				

Curriculum and Teaching Material Innovation and Developmen t	Courses (MOOCs), an additional 5 points are awarded per course per semester. For offering distance learning courses accredited by the Ministry of Education, an additional 10 points are awarded per course per semester. A maximum of 15 points can be awarded for this item. For publishing, editing, translating textbooks or sets of teaching materials, or developing teaching software, the following points are awarded: • 15 points if primary author • 5 points for reprints or partial contributions		
Teaching Innovation	Course Innovation: For offering Problem-Based Learning (PBL), Project-Based Learning (PBL), micro-courses, or deep bowl courses, an additional 5 points are awarded per course each semester. Teaching Method Innovation: For using innovative teaching methods such as flipped classrooms, digital technology, or Activity Facilitated Learning (AFL), an additional 5 points are awarded per course each semester.		
Departmental and Faculty Teaching Participatio n	Other teaching evaluation items related to the improvement of teaching quality in the college or the implementation of college teaching policies must be substantively linked to teaching outcomes, and should be planned, sustained, and supported by evidence or records of activities. These will be determined by the college's Faculty Evaluation Committee. A maximum of 15 points can be awarded for this item.		
Course Manageme nt and Interaction Contribution to Teaching Resources	The effectiveness of course interactions managed through innovative methods, etc., will be reviewed by the college's Faculty Evaluation Committee, which will assign appropriate scores based on the assessment. The effectiveness of securing external resources will be reviewed by the college's Faculty Evaluation Committee, which will assign appropriate scores based on the assessment.	-	

Participation in Policy Courses Innovation and Development of Teaching Materials		The effectiveness of participating in university policy-driven courses will be reviewed by the college's Faculty Evaluation Committee, which will assign appropriate scores based on the assessment. The effectiveness of innovations in teaching materials will be reviewed by the college's Faculty Evaluation Committee, which will assign appropriate scores based on the assessment.			
Qualitative Items	Attachment Number	Scoring Explanation	Self- Asses sment Score	Data Review	Reviewed Score
Other teaching-related matters, both within and outside the university, that have concrete evidence or facts will be reviewed and assessed accordingly.		The evaluation will be conducted by the college's Faculty Evaluation Committee, which will assess and determine the appropriate scores or outcomes based on the criteria and evidence provided.			

Item	Self-Assessment Score	Reviewed Score
Basic Items Subtotal (Maximum 50 points)	points	points
Development Items Subtotal (Maximum 50 points)	points	points
Total Teaching Evaluation Score	points	points
Teaching Evaluation Self-Selection Proportion	%	%
Teaching Evaluation Score (Total Score × Self-Selection Proportion)	points	points

II. Research Evaluation Items

Basic Items: 50 points; Development Items: 50 points; Total Score 100 points.

Papers and patents are counted based on the year of publication, while project proposals are counted according to the academic year in which the project begins.

(A) If the teacher has met one of the following criteria within the past three years, they will receive a basic score of 40 points. If they have met two or more criteria, they will receive a basic score of 50 points. The scoring standards are as follows:

Attachment Number	Meets Criteria (Check)	Self- Assessment Score	Document Review	Review Score
		Attachment Number Criteria	Attachment Number Criteria Assessment	Attachment Criteria Assessment Document Review

4-3. Perform twice at regional or			
higher-level performance venues with a			
review system in place.			
4-4. Publish two papers in academic			
journals with a review system, either			
domestically or internationally.			

(B) Development items are divided into quantitative items and qualitative items. The total score for each quantitative item is capped at 35 points, and the total score for qualitative items is capped at 15 points. The scoring details are as follows:

Quantitative Items	Attachment		Self-Assessment	Document	Review
Quantitative Items	Number	Scoring Method	Score	Review	Score
1-1. Recipient of a		40 points per			
National Award		instance			
1-2. Selected as an		30 points per			
Outstanding		instance			
Researcher at the					
university					
1-3. Selected as a		20 points per			
recipient of the		instance			
university's					
Flexible Research					
Salary					
2-1. Lead researcher					
for a National					
Science and		10 points per			
Technology		project			
Council		project			
(formerly NSC)					
research project					
2-2. Lead researcher					
for a non-					
National					
Science and					
Technology					
Council					
(formerly NSC)					
research project,		8 points per item			
an industry-		o points per item			
academic					
collaboration, or					
a Ministry of					
Education					
Teaching					
Practice					
Research project					
2-3.Co-lead		5 points per item			
researcher for a					
research project					
or industry-					
academic					

collaboration				
2-4. Assistant co-		2 points per		
lead researcher				
for a research		project		
project or				
industry-				
academic				
collaboration				
		If not the first		
		author or		
3. Publish papers in pe	eer-reviewed	corresponding		
academic journals	or conference	author, 2 points		
proceedings.		will be deducted		
1 &		from each item		
		below.		
3-1. Publish in		20 points per		
SSCI or A&HCI				
journals		paper		
3-2. Publish		16 nointa non		
academic		16 points per		
papers in SCI,		paper		
TSSCI, or				
THCI core				
journals, or in				
National				
Science and				
Technology				
Council				
(formerly NSC)				
recognized				
academic				
journals, or in				
Tier 1 journals				
as per the				
National				
Science and				
Technology				
Council's				
journal ranking				
by discipline				
3-3. Publish papers		12 mainta mar		
in EI or THCI		12 points per		
journals		paper		
		10		
3-4. Publish papers in other		10 points per		
		paper		
domestic or		_		
international				
peer-reviewed				
academic				
journals				
3-5. Present at		8 points per		
domestic or				
international		paper		

peer-reviewed			
academic			
conferences			
4. Academic Books	If the book is co-		
	authored by two		
	or more authors,		
	2 points will be		
	deducted per		
	book.		
4-1. Publish an	20 points per		
academic book	book		
4-2. Reprint or	10 points per		
publish part of an	reprint or partial		
academic book	book		
5. Achievements or	5 points per		
technical reports	paper		
from industry-			
academia			
collaboration			
6. Obtain a patent	15 points per		
	achievement or		
	technical report		
7.Creative design works or			
performances 7-1. International-	20		
	20 points per		
level performance	performance		
7-2. National-level	16 points per		
performance	performance		
7-3. County or	10 points per		
city-level	performance		
performance			
7-4. University-	5 points per		
level performance	performance		
8.Guiding Graduate Students	Points can be		
	awarded only		
	when the student		
	graduates. The		
	maximum score		
	for this item is 10		
	points.		
8-1. Guiding	2 points per		
Master's (or	Master's (or		

professional)	professional)
students' theses at	student
the university	
8-2. Guiding	4 points per
Doctoral students'	Doctoral student
	Doctoral student
theses	
0.70	This item can
9.Review academic wor	1
projects	maximum of 10 points.
9-1. Promotion	5 points per
Papers	article
9-2. Teacher	3 points per
Qualification	article
Theses	
9-3. Books	3 points per book
9-4. Journal	3 points per
Articles	article
9-5. Academic	3 points per
Conference	article
Papers	
9-6. Review of	
Research	
Projects by	2
Central Government	3 points per
or External	project
Academic	
Institutions	
9-7. Review of	
Research	
Projects by	
Local	2 points per
Government	project
or External	
Academic	
Institutions	
	This item can
10. Academic Lectures	add up to a maximum of 15
	points.
10-1. Special	Each session
Lectures at	
Academic	adds 3 points
Conferences	
10-2. Serving as a	Each session
Keynote	
Speaker at an	adds 15 points
International	
Academic	
Conference	

10-3. Serving as a Discussant at an International Academic Conference	Each session adds 3 points
10-4. Serving as a Moderator at an International Academic Conference	Each session adds 2 points

11. Other research-related		Evaluated by the			
works, creations, or		College-Level			
research awards; please		Faculty Evaluation			
provide a brief		Committee, this item			
description:		can add up to a			
		maximum of 15			
(1)		points.			
(2)					
(2)					
(3)					
Qualitative Items	Attachment Number	Scoring Method	Self- Evaluation Score	Data Review	Review Score
		Scoring Method Evaluated by the	Evaluation		
Qualitative Items Other research-related		Evaluated by the College-Level	Evaluation		
Qualitative Items Other research-related matters on record may be		Evaluated by the College-Level Faculty Evaluation	Evaluation		
Qualitative Items Other research-related matters on record may be listed by the faculty for		Evaluated by the College-Level	Evaluation		
Qualitative Items Other research-related matters on record may be		Evaluated by the College-Level Faculty Evaluation	Evaluation		
Qualitative Items Other research-related matters on record may be listed by the faculty for		Evaluated by the College-Level Faculty Evaluation	Evaluation		
Qualitative Items Other research-related matters on record may be listed by the faculty for evaluation by the College-		Evaluated by the College-Level Faculty Evaluation	Evaluation		
Qualitative Items Other research-related matters on record may be listed by the faculty for evaluation by the College-Level Faculty Review		Evaluated by the College-Level Faculty Evaluation	Evaluation		

Item	Self- Evaluation Score	Review Score
Basic Items Subtotal (Maximum 50 points)	point	point
Development Items Subtotal (Maximum 50 points)	point	point
Total Score for Research Evaluation Items	point	point
Research Evaluation Items Optional Proportion	%	%
Research Evaluation Items Score (Total Score × Optional	point	point
Proportion)	point	point

III. Service (Including Mentoring) Evaluation Items

Basic Items: 50 points; Development Items: 50 points; Total Maximum Score: 100 points

(A) If the teacher has met any of the following critera within the past three years, they will receive the basic score of 50 points:

Item	Attachment Number	Compliant (Check)	Self- Evaluation	Data Review	Review Score
1. Serve as a mentor for			Score		
more than four					
semesters and attend at					
least one mentor					
meeting each semester					
(including those who have followed the					
school's leave					
procedures).					
Additionally, the online					
mentor evaluation					
completion rate must					
be at least 40%, and the evaluation scores must					
rank within the top					
80% of the entire					
school.					
2. Serve as a career					
mentor for more than					
two years, and have all career mentor					
evaluations passed					
(score of 70 or above).					
3. Provide care and					
mentoring to students					
and participate in more than 4 mentoring-					
related training					
activities (including					
mentor meetings and					
career mentor					
meetings).					
4. Serve as a coach for sports teams in the					
Physical Education					
Department and					
participate in national-					
level competitions at					
least 2 times. 5. Guide students in					
research projects and					
participate in national					
or international					
competitions at least 2					

times.					
※ If a teacher fails to parti	cipate in impor	tant service, mentoring task	ks, meetings,		
and activities organized	and activities organized by the department, faculty, or school, the Teacher				
Evaluation Committee	Evaluation Committee may, based on the actual situation, determine specific				
items and points for deduction, with a maximum deduction of 30 points from					
the basic score.					

(B) Development items are divided into quantitative items and qualitative items. The total score for quantitative items is 35 points, while the total score for qualitative items is 15 points. The scoring details are as follows:

Quantitative Items	Attachment Number	Scoring Method	Self- Evaluation Score	Data Review	Review Score
1. Selected as an Excellent		Department Excellent			
Mentor		Mentor: Add 5 points			
		per time.			
		If also awarded College			
		Excellent Mentor, add			
		another 5 points.			
		If also awarded			
		University Excellent			
		Mentor, add another 10			
		points.			
2. Serve as a first-year mentor and implements the "Caring for Freshmen's First Mile Program" by completing the "Freshmen Immediate Survey Record" within the specified deadline. 3. Complete the online		If the evaluation reaches 100%, add 5 points (excluding international students). Add 4 points per			
mentor-student interaction log, with an online mentoring evaluation completion rate of over 60%, and the evaluation scores ranking within the top 50% of the college.		semester.			
4.1. Career mentor evaluation score is rated as excellent.		Add 3 points per academic year.			
4.2. Serve as a career mentor, guide students, and complete the online meeting records.		Add 2 points if there are more than 8 submissions per semester.			

10. Guide or participate in various competitions and win awards.	Add 1 points per item for internal school activities; Add 4 points per item for inter-school activities; Add 10 points per item for international		
9. Assist the Admissions Office in organizing various recruitment activities or serve as a member of the department or graduate program entrance examination committee.	Add 1 point per item per academic year.		
8. Plan or organize large-scale events or seminars.	Add 2 points per item for internal school activities; Add 5 points per item for inter-school activities; Add 10 points per item for international activities.		
of such actions. 7. Serve as the convener, executive secretary, or committee member of various school committees.	Add 1 point per item per academic year.		
6. Mentor or lead students in community service activities with specific evidence, or lead the entire class in participating in general education activities, or promote student internships with record	Add 1 point per item, per semester. If awarded the Holistic Care Award, add an additional 5 points each time.		
5. Serve as a faculty or staff group mentor, program coordinator or mentor, club advisor, volunteer mentor, or sports team leader.	Add 1 point per item, per semester.		

Other internal and external	Evaluated by the		
service (including	College Faculty Review		
mentoring) activities that	Committee.		
are on record may be			
listed by the teacher.			
For example, serving as a			
committee member, board			
member, or supervisor in			
government agencies,			
public organizations, or			
academic organizations.			
Please provide a brief			
description:			
(1)			
(2)			

Item	Self-Evaluation Score	Review Score
Basic Items Subtotal (Maximum 50 points)	points	points
Development Items Subtotal (Maximum 50 points)	points	points
Total Score for Service (Including Mentoring) Evaluation Items	points	points
Service (Including Mentoring) Evaluation Items Optional Proportion	%	%
Service (Including Mentoring) Evaluation Items Score (Total Score × Optional Proportion)	points	points

III. Teacher Evaluation Score Table

(Total score is 100 points; the teacher's score must reach 70 points to pass the evaluation.)

Item	Self- Evaluation Score	Review Score
Teaching Evaluation Item Score (Total Score × Optional Proportion)	points	points
Research Evaluation Item Score (Total Score × Optional Proportion)	points	points
Service (Including Mentoring) Evaluation Item Score (Total Score × Optional Proportion)	points	points
Total Teacher Evaluation Score	points	points

The above information is all factual. I take full responsibility for any falsehoods or omissions.

Evaluated Teacher: _	Year/Month/Day
Supervisor of the Affiliated Unit:	Year/Month/Day

Convener of the Department Faculty Evaluation	Convener of the College Faculty Evaluation
Committee	Committee
Results of theth Department Faculty Evaluation Committee Review: The information is correct. Partial correction of the data,	Results of theth College Faculty Evaluation Committee Review: Evaluation Passed (above 70 points) Evaluation Not Passed (below 70
explanation: :	points)
Convener of the Department Faculty Evaluation Committee: Year/ Month/ Day	Convener of the College Faculty Evaluation Committee:
	Year/ Month/ Day

Note 1: Teacher evaluations at our university re organized by each department (institute, center, or office), which compiles the information and submits it to the Department Faculty Evaluation Committee for verification. The reviewed materials are then forwarded to the College Faculty Evaluation Committee for evaluation. The evaluation results are sent to the University Faculty Evaluation Committee for deliberation.

Note 2: If the Department Faculty Review Committee finds no errors and requires no changes are

to be made, please mark:

Correct Information Correct Information